

Leveraging Separate IPR Counsel to Maximize Litigation Success

Fitch, Even, Tabin & Flannery LLP will present a free webinar, “Leveraging Separate IPR Counsel to Maximize Litigation Success,” featuring Fitch Even partners Timothy P. Maloney and Paul B. Henkelmann.

The webinar will take place on Thursday, November 18 at 9 a.m. PST / 10 a.m. MST / 11 a.m. CST / 12 noon EST.

Most patents challenged in *inter partes* review (IPR) proceedings at the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB) are involved in co-pending litigation. A threshold question is whether litigation counsel will also handle the IPR proceedings. When engaging separate counsel for the IPR proceedings, cooperation between litigation and IPR counsel is paramount to a successful outcome both at the PTAB and in the district court. Numerous issues that require close coordination must be addressed, including whether to engage separate expert witnesses, claim construction, discretionary denial, validity (or invalidity) arguments, stays, discovery, objective evidence of nonobviousness, motions to amend, and estoppel.

During this webinar, we will cover these topics and more:

- Pros and cons of engaging litigation counsel or separate counsel for IPR proceedings
- Critical issues common to both litigation and IPR proceedings that require close coordination
- Practical guidance when working with separate litigation or IPR counsel
- Strategies for improving cooperation between litigation and IPR counsel

CLE credit has been approved for California, Illinois, and Nebraska. Other states may also award CLE credit upon attendee request.

Register for the event:

<https://register.gotowebinar.com/register/9076644827648317454>