

Four Decisions Conclude Claims Outside Scope of Arbitration Agreement

A graphic with text: "new settling an arbitration impartial a dispute". The text is arranged in a curved, overlapping manner, with "new" at the top left, "settling an" below it, "arbitration." in the middle, "impartial" below that, and "a dispute" at the bottom. The text is in a bold, sans-serif font.

In a **new post** on the Arbitration Nation site of Stinson Leonard Street, **Liz Kramer** has collected four recent decisions in which courts have found the parties' dispute over the scope of an arbitration clause is not covered by their agreement.

Kramer considers the question: "Is this the new arbitration resistance? Some kind of "scope-a-dope," in which courts that don't take kindly to arbitration can hold up their hands and say 'I accepted that the arbitration agreement was formed, and that it was valid, but under state contract law, I interpret this claim as outside the scope.'"

Read the article.